

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/511,898	02/23/2000	Hisashi Yamagishi	Q58044	4185	
75	7590 03/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3202			HUNTER, ALVIN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711	17	
`			DATE MAIL ED: 03/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/511,898	YAMAGISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Alvin A. Hunter	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<u>_</u>						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority documents     2. Certified copies of the priority documents     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/129883</u> . ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

This application is not currently entitled to the benefit of the filing date of Provisional Application No. 60/058563 (which was filed in Japanese) because this application and the provisional application are not copending. The provisional application expired on September 11, 1998, more than a year before the filing date of this application. Priority to the provisional application must be claimed through 09/129883. See MPEP 201.11. Neither the provisional application nor the foreign application can be used to antedate an intervening reference unless a certified translation is provided.

#### Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Oath identifies prior U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/058563 as a foreign application. A new oath or declaration is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/511,898

Aft Unit: 3711

1. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagishi et al. (USPN 5695413) in view of Kasashima et al. (JP Publication 09-290034).

Yamagishi et al. (USPN 5695413) discloses a multi-layered golf ball having a two-layered core and cover (See Figure 1). The inner core has a distortion of 3.5mm under an applied load of 100kg (See Figure 1). The cover layer has a Shore D hardness of 50 to 60 with a thickness of 1.3 to 2.4m, and the outer core layer has a Shore D hardness of 20-70 with a thickness of 1.3 to 2.5mm (Figure 2). The product of the Shore D hardness of the outer core layer and cover layer would be 1000 to 4200 which is implied within Figure 2. Yamagishi et al. (USPN 5695413) fails to disclose dimples. Kasashima et al. discloses a golf ball having three types of dimples having different sizes wherein the total Vr is greater than 0.6% but less than 1.5% (See Detailed Description of the Invention paragraphs 0017 and 0018). The Vr and dimples both improve the flight distance of the golf ball (Detailed Description of the Invention paragraph 0001). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate the dimples and Vr, taught by Kasashima et al., into the golf ball of Yamagishi et al. in order to improve the flight distance of the golf ball.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Conclusion**

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Garbe, can be reached on 703-308-1207. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AAH Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

> Stephen P. Garba Primary Examiner